



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/796,227	03/09/2004	Craig A. Osterday	DP-305346	2169
27305	7590 04/28/2005		EXAMINER	
HOWARD & HOWARD ATTORNEYS, P.C. THE PINEHURST OFFICE CENTER, SUITE #101 39400 WOODWARD AVENUE			KRAMER, DEVON C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ELD HILLS, MI 48304-	51	3683	
			DATE MAILED: 04/28/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· · _ · _ · _ · _ · _ · · · · · · ·	Ameliantian Na	A 1! A/->				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	10/796,227	OSTERDAY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Devon C Kramer	3683				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C.§ 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Fe	ebruary 2005.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,11 and 12</u> is/are rejected.					
_	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-10,13 and 14</u> is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
are subject to restriction and/or	ciconon requirement.					
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
•		7.00.011 01 1011111 1 1 0 102.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 		-(d) or (f).				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •					
application from the International Bureau	` ''					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/796,227 Page 2

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1) The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2) Claims 1-2 and 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carre et al (4784244) in view of Scott (4529067).

In re claims 1 and 11, Carre et al provides a disc brake assembly for an automotive vehicle, comprising: a controller (24), a hub (attached to elements 1a, 1b) rotatable about an axis; at least a pair of circumferentially extending brake discs (1a, 1b) supported on said hub for rotation therewith and one of the disc having an axial sliding movement relative to said hub along said axis (inherent to the design of these particular disc brakes) and extending radially outwardly of said hub and having opposite sides presenting braking surfaces; a non-rotatable support structure (3); a plurality of non-rotatable brake pads (4a-c) having spaced ends in the circumferential direction of said brake discs friction elements supported by said non-rotatable support structure for relative axial movement into and out of frictional braking engagement with said braking surfaces, a piston (5) moveable from an unactuated position to an actuated position into engagement with an adjacent one of said non-rotatable brake pads to effect said sliding movement of said plurality of brake pads and said brake discs; and a primary electric

actuator (8) mounted on said non-rotatable support structure adjacent at least one of said plurality of brake pads and operative when actuated to move said piston to an actuated position and to slide said plurality of brake pads and said brake discs into said frictional braking engagement with one another. It is unclear in Carre et al if the second disc (1b) slides.

Scott teaches a first and second disc (30, 31) which are slidably mounted on a hub.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have constructed the discs of Carre et al as sliding discs as taught by Scott merely to prevent uneven wear and stress throughout the friction surfaces.

In re claims 2 and 12, see element 8.

3) Claims 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carre et al (4784244) in view of Scott (4529067) and further in view of Drennen et al (6412610).

Carre et al as modified by Scott is silent to what voltage the motor operates on.

Most vehicles utilize a 12-volt system.

Drennen et al teaches the use of a motor running on 12 volts. (col. 4 lines 12-13)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to operate the device of Carre et al as modified by Scott on 12 volts as taught by Drennen et al merely as a design choice and to utilize the devices use in most vehicles.

Art Unit: 3683

4) Claims 1-2, 4 and 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tamasho et al (6397981) in view of Scott (4529067).

In re claims 1 and 11, Tamasho et al teaches a disc brake assembly comprising a hub (attached to element 7) rotatable about an axis; a controller (24), a circumferentially extending brake disc (7) supported on said hub for rotation therewith and extending radially outwardly of said hub and having opposite sides presenting braking surfaces; a non-rotatable support structure (1); a plurality of non-rotatable brake pads (6a, b) having spaced ends in the circumferential direction of said brake discs friction elements supported by said non-rotatable support structure for relative axial movement into and out of frictional braking engagement with said braking surfaces, a piston (2) moveable from an un-actuated position to an actuated position into engagement with an adjacent one of said non-rotatable brake pads to effect said sliding movement of said plurality of brake pads and said brake discs; and a primary electric actuator (11) mounted on said non-rotatable support structure adjacent at least one of said plurality of brake pads and operative when actuated to move said piston to an actuated position and to slide said plurality of brake pads and said brake discs into said frictional braking engagement with one another.

Tamasho et al lacks the multi-disc arrangement claimed. Instead Tamasho et al teaches actuating a single disc.

Scott teaches the disc and pad arrangement claimed.

Page 5

Art Unit: 3683

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided the pad/ disc arrangement of Tamasho et al with the pad/disc arrangement of Scott merely to create more braking surface area and increase the braking force exerted.

In re claims 2 and 12, see element 11 of Tamasho.

In re claim 4, Tomasho teaches an arrangement where the piston (2) has a bore (figure 2) and the primary electric actuator comprises a screw portion (5) rotatable about an axis when the primary electric actuator is actuated, the screw portion received in at least a part of the bore of the piston to effect movement of the piston.

5) Claims 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tamasho et al (6397981) in view of Scott (4529067) and further in view of Drennen et al (6412610).

Both Tamasho and Scott are silent to what voltage the motor operates on. Most vehicles utilize a 12-volt system.

Drennen et al teaches the use of a motor running on 12 volts. (col. 4 lines 12-13) It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to operate the motor of Tomasho et al as modified by Scott on 12 volts as taught by Drennen et al merely as a design choice and to utilize the devices use in most vehicles.

Art Unit: 3683

Allowable Subject Matter

6) Claims 5-10 and 13-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

7) Applicant's arguments, see page 2, filed 2/17/05, with respect to the rejection(s)of claim(s) 1 under 102 have been fully considered and are persuasive.

Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is in paragraph 2 of the instant action.

Conclusion

8) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Devon C Kramer whose telephone number is 571-272-7118. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Marmor can be reached on 571-272-7095. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/796,227

Art Unit: 3683

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Devon C Kramer Examiner Art Unit 3683 Page 7

DK

PATENT EXAMINE:

PATENT EXAMINE:

4/25/05